UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,449	08/29/2003	Minas H. Tanielian	BO1 - 0257US	2457
*****	590 01/04/2007 PLIC		EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES, PLLC 421 W. RIVERSIDE AVE. SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			FICK, ANTHONY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
51 512 H1D, 1111	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1753	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
21 DA	VC	01/04/2007	FLECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 01/04/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhpto@leehayes.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/652,449	TANIELIAN, MINAS, H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anthony Fick	1753			
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LO - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS frod - If NO period for reply is sp - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	NGER, FROM THE MAILING Date available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 on the mailing date of this communication. ecified above, the maximum statutory period valet or extended period for reply will, by statute	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is I 3) ☐ Since this app	lication is in condition for allowa	ugust 2003. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application /e claim(s) is/are withdraw _ is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/652,449 Page 2

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 through 28, drawn to a thermal engine device, classified in class
 310, subclass 306.
 - II. Claims 29 through 50, drawn to a method of making a thermal engine device, classified in class 438, subclass 54.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of group I can be made by a different process than the method of group II. For example, the gap in group I can be created by a number of different methods than the etching of the method of group II, such as cutting or forming the gap by an extrusion method.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,449

Art Unit: 1753

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Fick whose telephone number is (571) 272-6393. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,449

Art Unit: 1753

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Fick AU 1753

December 22, 2006

nam nguyen

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700